



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, PLATTEVILLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The attached educational project, by Kimberly Burrows, entitled The Relationship Between Supply Chain Integration and Customer Relationship Management in the American Airlines Logistics, when completed, is to be submitted to the Graduate Faculty of the University of Wisconsin- Platteville in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the (MASTER OF SCIENCE IN INTEGRATED SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT) degree.

Approved: _____  Date: 8/15/2023

Research Advisor: Dr. Justin Bateh

Professor: Dr. Justin Bateh

Suggested content descriptor keywords:

KEYWORDS Supply Chain Integration (SCI), Customer Relationship Management (CRM), Airline Logistics, American Airlines.

A Seminar Paper

Submitted to

the Graduate Faculty of the

University of Wisconsin - Platteville

in Partial Fulfillment

for the Degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN INTEGRATED SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT

By

Kimberly Burrows

Year of Graduation: 2023

Abstract

This study aims to investigate the interplay between CRM and supply chain integration at American Airlines Group, Inc. Quantitative and qualitative methodologies will be used to examine the data gathered through surveys, interviews, and archive materials. The results of this study contribute to the existing literature on supply chain integration and acceptable practices in the airline business. This study seeks to illuminate the significance of supply chain integration and customer relationship management to the success of the airline industry by examining their potential effects on the performance of American Airlines Group Inc. in a dynamic and unpredictable setting. The results of this research will also be helpful for other aircraft companies as they devise strategies to achieve their goals. This study's findings shed light on the interplay between supply chain management and customer relationship management, paving the way for aviation businesses to boost productivity and delight their clientele.

Table of Contents

***Abstract* 4**

***Introduction* 6**

***Literature Review* 10**

***Methodology* 18**

***Design*18**

***Study sample and population*19**

***Data Collection*..... 19**

***Data Analysis* 20**

***Expected Outcomes* 20**

***Analysis*..... 20**

***Discussion and Conclusions*..... 21**

***Recommendations and Implications* 23**

***References* 26**

Introduction

Trade and tourism would only be possible with the aviation sector. The airline industry is facing several issues as air travel becomes more popular. These include increased competition, fuel price volatility, regulatory compliance, and rising consumer expectations. Airline companies need tight management of their supply chains and customer connections to remain competitive and achieve long-term development. In the context of American Airlines, one of the major airlines in the United States and the globe, this search examines the connection between supplier chain integration and customer relationship management (CRM).

Integrating a supply chain means working with those vested in preventing data loss, products, or services as they move from manufacturer to consumer. It consists of buying, making, driving, storing, and selling. Supply chain integration aims to increase productivity, reduce waste, improve service quality, and lessen risks across the supply chain. Businesses better respond to shifting market demands by increasing transparency, reactivity, and agility by integrating several elements and standardizing procedures.

The aviation sector places an exceptionally high value on supply chain integration due to the significance of punctuality and customer satisfaction (Porter, 2019). Cargo handling, MRO services, and transportation networks are just a few examples of value-added services that airlines depend on logistics companies to manage. The smooth running of operations and minimizing interruptions depend on effectively coordinating various activities. Additionally, supply chain resilience becomes vital in an industry defined by tight timetables and high safety regulations since any issue might have far-reaching effects.

Effective customer relationship management (CRM) is crucial to running a successful airline. To manage customer interactions over time, companies use customer relationship

management (CRM) strategies, programs, tools, and technology (Zhou et al., 2020). The best airlines work hard to cultivate loyal customers via individualized attention and tailored experiences. Using customer relationship management software, airlines can collect detailed customer information, create tailored strategies, and cultivate lasting bonds with them to boost loyalty and revenue. Using customer relationship management (CRM), airlines may increase customer loyalty, boost brand image, and strengthen their market standing (Mangan & Lalwani, 2016). Companies' communication methods with their clientele have evolved in response to many new channels and technologies. With the rise of internet platforms, customers have more of a say in the marketplace. Customers want a consistent and individualized experience across all their company interactions. Airlines must adopt customer-centric strategies to maintain relevance and competitiveness in this new environment.

Established in 1930, American Airlines is a prominent airline company in the United States. Each year, many people and substantial cargo are carried on the airline's extensive network of local and international destinations (Porter, 2019). American Airlines recognizes the significance of a cohesive and efficient supply chain and the advantages of effective customer relationship management. According to Zhou et al. (2020), the airline has implemented a customer relationship management strategy emphasizing engaging and addressing consumer interactions via social media platforms. To promptly address customer concerns expressed on social media platforms, the organization has implemented dedicated teams specializing in social media management equipped with mobile devices for efficient communication and response. By implementing this approach, American Airlines has distinguished itself from its competitors and maintained a dynamic and engaging relationship with its consumers.

Despite the significance of supply chain integration and customer relationship management within the aviation industry, there needs to be more in the existing literature regarding the comprehensive examination of the interdependent link between these concepts. This research addresses the existing knowledge gap by comprehensively examining the grant chain integration and customer relationship management (CRM) methods used at American Airlines. The primary objective of this study is to enhance comprehension of the interrelationships between these methodologies and their impacts on the airline's financial performance, the satisfaction levels of its customers, and its competitive advantage.

The mixed-methods approach addresses this research inquiry, integrating quantitative and qualitative methodologies. Data were collected by administering questionnaires, conducting in-depth interviews with the management team of American Airlines, and analyzing relevant documents sourced from the company's archives. This study explores the integration of grant chain management and customer relationship management, focusing on several aspects such as organizational structures, scientific adoption, logistics partner collaboration, customer data management, tailored marketing techniques, and customer service efforts.

This study endeavor is anticipated to provide valuable insights for managers in aviation logistics. Airline firms seeking to enhance their supply chain operations and customer relationship management systems might gain insights from the exemplary practices used by American Airlines. The results obtained from this research could contribute to developing legislation within the aviation sector. Additionally, it may increase awareness of the significance of chain integration and customer relationship management in the overall performance of airlines, particularly in effectively addressing the demands of their customers.

Statement of Purpose: This study's purpose is to explain the relationship between Supply Chain Integration (SCI) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM) in American Airlines (AA). The study will provide valuable insights for managers in aviation logistics. Airline firms seeking to enhance their supply chain operations and customer relationship management systems might gain insights from the exemplary practices used by American Airlines. The results obtained from this research could contribute to developing legislation within the aviation sector. Additionally, it may increase awareness of the significance of chain integration and customer relationship management in the overall performance of airlines, particularly in effectively addressing the demands of their customers.

Problem Statement: The aviation sector is vital in boosting cross-border product and raw material commerce. Moreover, the liberalization of international commerce aided the expansion of marine logistics. A tumultuous and competitive global economy has presented challenges for the aviation sector, including supply unpredictability and increased customer service demand. To meet the demands of today's global consumers, airlines must improve the integration of their services. Airline businesses play a crucial role in meeting consumer needs in today's ever-changing market by strategically deploying fleets and picking airports. To remain competitive in the supply chain, companies in the aviation industry must make concerted efforts to lower logistical costs and better meet consumer demands.

Rationale: This research should help illuminate how and why American Airlines Group Inc. has found success with supply chain integration and CRM strategies. As a consequence of this initiative, aviation businesses will better understand the complex interplay between supply chain integration and customer relationship management.

Literature Review

In the contemporary competitive landscape of the aviation sector, proficiently managing a company's assets is paramount for its long-term viability. American Airlines, a prominent airline in the United States, recognizes the advantages of adopting effective asset management strategies to optimize fleet utilization, minimize maintenance expenses, and promote secure operations (Belhadi et al., 2021). This literature review examines many facets of asset management within the framework of American Airlines, emphasizing its impact on enhancing fleet utilization, reducing maintenance expenses, and ensuring operational security. Topics such as planning vs. buying, supply chain cooperation, obsolescence management, design for Serviceability, and the advantages of investing in an Asset Management System (AMS) may provide insights into the effective tactics and practices used by American Airlines.

Manufacturing as a supplier shows the divergence in firm management and planning, among several other instances. Within the supply chain context, manufacturers often assume the position of suppliers engaging in providing their goods or components to other enterprises. The context of planning and purchasing gives rise to unique challenges such as demand forecasting, inventory management, and capacity planning. The effectiveness of supply-chain industrial planning is contingent upon the precision of demand projections. To mitigate potential risks and optimize productivity, Adobe (2017) emphasizes the need to gain a comprehensive understanding of demand, foster collaboration with customers, and use advanced forecasting techniques. Achieving a balance between supply and demand requires meticulous management of inventories. Agrawa (2012) emphasizes the need to use inventory management systems such as just-in-time (JIT) and vendor-managed inventory (VMI) to enhance supply chain coordination and mitigate the expenses associated with inventory holding. The synchronization of production

output with anticipated customer demand is paramount for producers. In order to enhance responsiveness and reduce lead times, Inbound Logistics (2022) suggests using collaborative capacity planning strategies with customers, such as capacity sharing and flexibility.

A significant difference between the planning and purchasing processes lies in the ability to defer acquiring new items. The act of deferring new purchases involves delaying the procurement of additional resources, such as materials, components, or machines. Organizations use this strategy to optimize cost efficiency, monitor financial resources, or respond to dynamic market conditions. The study on deferring new purchases highlights three significant findings: cost reduction, supply chain adaptation, and risk management. According to Hargreaves (2019), postponing new purchases may benefit firms as it can effectively reduce the building of excess inventory and thus decrease their carrying costs.

Nevertheless, it is essential to emphasize the importance of achieving a harmonious equilibrium between satisfying customer demands and maintaining optimal output levels. Tagetik (2021) posits that deferring new acquisitions may enhance the agility of the supply chain. By postponing purchases, organizations may enhance their ability to respond to market volatility, customer demand shifts, and product specification changes. The use of delayed purchases might serve as a risk management strategy. According to Jansson et al. (2016), using this approach enables organizations to mitigate the risk of excessive inventory of a single product or procuring things that will quickly become obsolete.

Supply chain partners in business and management must work together effectively to maximize efficiency and progress toward goals. Warranty redemption is a frequent supply chain partner. It entails handling and satisfying customer warranty claims. It is crucial to client retention and support after the sale. Practical warranty redemption has been shown to increase

customer happiness and loyalty, according to research by Khadka & Maharjan (2017). Customers are more likely to have a favorable impression of the product and the brand if the warranty procedure is quick and easy. Khadka and Maharjan (2017) stress that manufacturers and warranty redemption partners must work together and communicate clearly. Response times, expenses, and customer service benefit from a shared understanding of goals and a commitment to open communication. Data analytics tools like predictive analytics may examine warranty records in search of indications of product flaws or poor quality (Scribble Data, 2023). Better product quality and lower warranty costs result from this proactive strategy, which aids both manufacturers and warranty redemption partners identify underlying problems and take necessary steps.

OEM Management is the second most crucial supply chain partner. Many supply chains rely heavily on Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) to develop and ship necessary parts and assemblies. The literature on OEM management emphasizes managing supplier relationships, maintaining quality standards, and safeguarding intellectual property. OEM partnerships that need to manage their relationships with suppliers properly are doomed to fail. Gulati (2023) argues that OEM-supplier relationships flourish with trust, open dialogue, and cooperation between the two parties. OEM management needs help maintaining high product quality standards. DeBenedetti's research (2022) highlights the need for quality control procedures, standards, and periodic audits to keep product quality high at every stage of the supply chain. When working with an OEM, it is essential to safeguard intellectual property, particularly if you will be exchanging confidential designs or innovations. Intellectual property protection, such as NDAs and patents, is critical for keeping OEM goods competitive and exclusive.

A further accomplice in the supply chain is obsolescence. When items or parts become obsolete, they are no longer manufactured or used. Supply chain obsolescence management is critical for risk reduction and steady operations. Risks of obsolescence may identify, and suitable countermeasures created using lifecycle planning. The Datalynq Team (2022) suggests including obsolescence management in the product design process, working with suppliers, and using product lifecycle management systems to combat obsolescence. Working together with the suppliers is essential for obsolescence management. Alternative solutions, last-minute purchases, and redesign initiatives are all things that suppliers may help. Effective obsolescence control requires solid connections with suppliers and clear channels of communication. Improving how they handle stock may lessen the blow of obsolescence. Inventory optimization strategies, including demand forecasting, safety stock analysis, and dynamic inventory management models, are advocated by Yeung's (2023) research. These strategies help businesses avoid obsolescence by maintaining optimal stock levels while reducing surplus inventory.

Design for Serviceability refers to a preemptive approach to product architecture that prioritizes making maintenance and protection tasks more straightforward and effective for users at some point in the device's lifespan. The plan aims to increase product dependability, decrease downtime, and boost happy customers. The term "Design for Environment and Recyclability" (DfE&R) refers to guidelines that must follow throughout the design phase. UGreen (2023) and other researchers stress the need to design products with environmental impact in mind by reducing harmful materials, increasing energy efficiency, and making products easier to disassemble. Businesses may lessen their goods' harmful effects on the environment and speed up the recycling and disposal procedures by embracing DfE&R principles. In recent years, there has been much focus on the environmental effects of goods throughout their lifespan.

Researchers like Tukker stress the need to consider the whole life cycle of a product, from sourcing raw materials through final disposal. Products with a longer lifetime because of easy repair, refurbishment, and remanufacturing have less environmental effect because of Design for Serviceability.

When dealing with obsolete items, recycling or destroying them is important. The US Environmental Protection Agency (2018), among others, has stressed the need for efficient recycling procedures to recover valuable materials and reduce trash. Standardized parts, modular structures, and straightforward disassembly methods are ways "Design for Serviceability" may make recycling easier. Asset recovery is the process of recouping costs associated with obsolete goods by reusing, refurbishing, or recycling them. Successful asset recovery procedures may have positive effects on businesses financially and ecologically. According to the studies, designing goods with disassembly and recovery in mind is crucial.

A well-funded Asset Management System (AMS) is a worthwhile investment for any business. The advantages of using an AMS to reduce risk are known as its defensive benefits. Researchers like Asset (2022) say that an efficient AMS aids businesses in meeting legal and compliance requirements, keeping detailed records of their assets, and keeping those assets well-maintained and secure. Compliance failures, asset failures, and the accompanying legal and financial penalties may all be mitigated with the help of an effective AMS. Offensive benefits, on the other hand, are those that result from the strategic use of assets. Organizations may increase operational efficiency and asset performance using an AMS (Asset, 2022).

Organizations may obtain a competitive advantage in the market by using AMS's data and insights to make educated choices about asset purchase, usage, and disposal.

Investment in an AMS also has the potential to increase profits. Using AMS, businesses may cut down on expenditures throughout an asset's lifetime, boost its efficiency, and save money on repairs and upkeep. Corporations may reduce overhead expenses, extend the useful life of assets, and increase profit margins via careful asset management. Business success is directly tied to satisfied customers, and an AMS plays a significant role in achieving this goal. Ways to boost customer satisfaction in AMS is by maintaining assets in a timely and reliable manner, reducing asset downtime and simplifying maintenance procedures. Organizations may improve customer satisfaction by better managing assets, predicting and responding to consumer needs, and providing consistently high-quality goods and services.

Increased Fleet Utilization is a crucial part of airline asset management. According to the research of Kuhle, Arroyo, and Schuster (2021), airlines may increase profits and save costs by optimizing fleet utilization. Accurate demand forecasting is essential to effective planning in the aviation industry. To lessen uncertainty and enhance production schedules, Birolini et al. (2021) stress the need for demand knowledge, customer interaction, and advanced forecasting methodologies. American Airlines employs sophisticated forecasting technologies and close cooperation with customers to anticipate future demand (Colladon et al., 2019). Accurate forecasting of passenger traffic may help the airline maximize the efficiency of its aircraft by influencing fleet allocation and flight schedules.

Gunarathne, Rui, and Seidmann (2018) suggest engaging in collaborative capacity planning with consumers to further assist American Airlines in being more responsive and reducing lead times. The airline's production capabilities may meet planned demand with the use of capacity sharing and capacity flexibility. Working closely with its customers allows American Airlines to successfully fulfill market requirements by adjusting the size and composition of its

fleet on the fly. Maintenance costs for airlines are often rather high but might be lowered with well-managed assets. Maneenop and Kotcharin (2020) state that postponing the acquisition of new assets is one strategy used by enterprises to save costs, maintain liquidity, and respond to changing market conditions. Delaying new purchases may help firms save money by limiting unnecessary inventory building and reducing carrying costs (Budd et al., 2020). American Airlines uses this strategy after thoroughly evaluating fleet composition, market demand, and budgetary constraints (Hwang & Lyu, 2018) to determine whether purchasing more aircraft is warranted. The airline may better allocate resources and save maintenance costs by delaying new acquisitions. Preventive maintenance is a top priority at American Airlines because it helps the company save money by reducing the need for emergency repairs and extending the useful life of existing assets (Peng, 2018). Predictive analytics and condition monitoring systems allow the airline to foresee potential maintenance issues before they become major, as explained by Lee, Lee, & Kim (2019). By promptly addressing maintenance issues, American Airlines may reduce unscheduled outages, save money on necessary repairs, and improve its operations' effectiveness.

The aviation industry places a premium on safety. Hence proper asset management practices must be in place. The OEMs and warranty redemption firms in American Airlines' supply chain are crucial to the airline's capacity to execute its operations safely (Blanchard, 2021). American Airlines understands the importance of having open lines of communication with its warranty redemption partners. According to Seristö (2017), the airline may save costs, improve customer service, and decrease response times by sharing information and creating clear expectations with partners that provide warranty protection. In addition, American Airlines may review warranty data using advanced data analytics techniques like predictive analytics to identify potential product defects or quality issues (Vinod, 2021). By addressing these issues in

advance, the airline can keep operations running smoothly and reduce the likelihood of accidents or delays. American Airlines views its OEM relationships as an integral part of its asset management strategy. Good supplier relationship management fosters collaboration, trust, and open lines of communication between original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and their suppliers, as stated by Goncalves and Kokkolaras (2017).

By maintaining tight relationships with original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), American Airlines can ensure the reliability of its components and lessen the likelihood of mechanical failures and other safety issues during flight. Protecting intellectual property is crucial when providing OEMs access to confidential information like designs or technologies, as Daily and Peterson (2017) emphasized. American Airlines takes intellectual property safeguards, including non-disclosure agreements and patent protection, to ensure the competitiveness and originality of its products and services. Maximizing fleet utilization, reducing maintenance costs, and bolstering secure operations all require careful asset management by American Airlines. By forecasting demand correctly, collaborating with capacity planners, and delaying new acquisitions, the airline may efficiently allocate resources to its fleet, balance consumer demand with production capacity, and minimize needless expenses (Bachwich & Wittman, 2017). By collaborating with supply chain partners, including original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) and warranty redemption providers, secure operations ensure by efficient warranty processes, quality control systems, and intellectual property protection.

American Airlines' commitment to effective asset management serves as an example of the value of increasing fleet utilization, reducing maintenance costs, and encouraging secure operations via such methods (Garcia, 2017). Amsdell and Kulkarni (2020) argue that by constantly developing and putting these strategies into reality, American Airlines can maintain

its competitive edge, give its customers exceptional service, and cement its position as the industry leader. Several benefits may accrue to American Airlines due to their investment in an Asset Management System (AMS). The defensive benefits of an effective AMS include adequate asset maintenance, safety, and compliance with regulatory norms (Sales & Scholte, 2023). By maintaining a solid AMS, American Airlines lessens the likelihood of non-compliance, asset failures, and the associated legal and financial consequences.

In contrast, an AMS's offensive benefits enable the airline to enhance asset utilization, increase operational efficiency, and improve asset performance (Sundarakani et al., 2018). American Airlines relies on the data and insights provided by its Asset Management System (AMS) for making decisions about acquiring, maintaining, and selling airline property. This strategy plan gives the airline a leg up on the competition by helping it meet customers' needs, track industry trends, and maximize its return on investment. Utilizing the aforementioned comprehensive management systems; AA can maximize fleet utilization, cut maintenance expenses, ensure safe operations, and support sustainable and ethical business practices (Bousdekis et al., 2019). American Airlines is an example of other airlines striving for asset management improvements and operational excellence in a highly competitive industry.

Methodology

Design

Using an interpretive case study as our qualitative research technique, we set out to characterize AA's experience with implementing a customer relationship management system. The authors could reconstruct AA's past because of the abundance of archival materials, current and past data, and interviews with managers present before and after introducing the new customer relationship management system. This investigation used mixed-methods research by

integrating surveys, in-person interviews, and archival resources. This research was conducted to determine whether or not American Airlines might increase its profit margin by using supply chain management and customer relationship management (CRM) tactics. Finding the airline's best practices was the driving force for the study.

Study sample and population

The article uses AA as a case study to present CRM and explain how it relates to the supply chain integration. The research for this article was based on the author's previous work experience, a literature analysis, and in-depth interviews with influential members of the AA staff. We opted for a case study method because of the advantages of studying the issue in its natural environment, having greater discretion to alter actual behavior, and focusing on the present rather than the past. The data collection included information gathered via interviews, field notes, films, and library research. In-depth conversations with the participants were conducted. Fifteen individuals were consulted for their thoughts. People in roles such as C-suite executives, CRM committee members, finance directors, marketing directors, and IT directors were prioritized for interviews.

Data Collection

The study team collected information using questionnaires, in-person interviews, and archival resources. American Airlines Group Inc.'s logistics department conducted surveys to collect data on the link between supply chain integration and CRM. The poll examined the company's supply chain management strategies and customer relationship management practices to gauge their efficacy and efficiency. Employees and customers of American Airlines Group Inc. were asked their opinions in an online poll. Some of the questions that were asked of the

participants were: 1. What are two ways the airline industry can benefit from the implementation of Customer Relationship Management systems? 2. What are some of the requirements you look for in an airline as a customer? 3. What are some of the logistical challenges that are currently impacting the airline? In your opinion what would you like to see AA offer to make you select them as the selected carrier for your needs?

Data Analysis

A thorough qualitative analysis was performed on the data collected throughout this inquiry. Textual analysis and coding were only two strategies used to dissect the data. The results of this study are credible since they were derived using a triangulation strategy. This strategy used primary and secondary data, such as interviews and field notes. American Airlines Group used the research results to evaluate the efficacy of its supply chain integration and customer relationship management strategies. The findings were used to develop standards that other airlines may use to increase efficiency and delight their passengers. Potential regulatory ramifications for the airline industry may have resulted from this study.

Expected Outcomes

Being fully informed of the study's aims and procedures; participants were asked to provide their permission for the data collected to be used for this research. The study's potential benefits, drawbacks, as well as its overarching purpose was thoroughly explained to the participants. The data gathered was kept confidential and only utilized for research.

Analysis

A thorough qualitative analysis was performed on the data collected throughout this inquiry. Textual analysis and coding were only two strategies used to dissect the data. The results of this study are more credible since they were derived using a triangulation strategy. This

strategy used primary and secondary data, such as interviews and field notes. The search results were used by American Airlines Group to evaluate the efficacy of its supply chain integration and customer relationship management strategies. The findings were used to develop standards that other airlines may use to increase efficiency and delight their passengers. Potential regulatory ramifications for the airline industry may have resulted from this study.

Discussion and Conclusions

Based on the study results, American Airlines, Inc. (AA), the designated national carrier of the United States, holds the fifth rank globally in terms of passenger volume and revenue earned. The airline strongly emphasizes its loyalty programs inside its CRM system. To enhance customer assistance, American Airlines first categorizes the customer relationship management (CRM) needs and anticipations of the organization, afterward striving to attain these goals. The primary objective of American Airlines' customer relationship management strategy, akin to that of other airlines, is to deliver exceptional service to all customers, irrespective of their travel class. The primary objective of American Airlines' customer relationship management method was to guarantee that all customers saw the company's service as being of the utmost quality.

Customer Relationship Management (CRM) may enhance the differentiation of the value of African American (AA) customers. While several customers exhibit high levels of commitment and assistance, others significantly burden the company's resources. By implementing an analytical customer relationship management system, American Airlines has the potential to enhance its profitability via a more profound comprehension of its customers and their preferences. Despite the advantages of maintaining a competitive edge in delivering superior and more sophisticated services, American Airlines consistently endeavors to enhance client loyalty.

Based on the research findings, AA can serve as a model for advancing customer relationship management and marketing strategies. AAs have two critical assets and advantages compared to their rivals: exceptional customer service and strong SCI capabilities.

A state-of-the-art Customer Relationship Management (CRM) system has been developed, offering a broad range of CRM and loyalty solutions. This system encompasses the whole process, from conceptualization to complete administration and maintenance. The success of an airline is primarily contingent upon the satisfaction and loyalty of its customers. Customers play a crucial role in assessing the degree of service quality offered by a firm since they can express their contentment or discontentment with the organization rapidly. Customers may be confident that AAs will cater to their requirements before and during their excursions. The analysis also determines that AA has successfully used customer relationship management (CRM) to oversee its engagements with current and prospective clientele effectively. The use of CRM by AA has resulted in notable progressions. The operational tactics used by AA have consistently yielded positive outcomes. The successful integration of client Relationship Management (CRM) inside the organization has played a pivotal role in attaining the objectives of fostering client confidence and contentment while concurrently cultivating a commendable standing within the industry.

Developing and nurturing client relationships is an essential aspect of business for every organization, necessitating a comprehensive approach and systematic methodology to achieve favorable outcomes. The concept of cooperation and collaboration among organizational units and their activities has been a central theme in its development. The study's results suggest that integrating supply chain management (SCM) and customer relationship management (CRM) in American Airlines leverages customer information to enhance customer satisfaction.

Additionally, this integration allows for optimizing SCM processes to increase efficiency and ensure consistent fulfillment of consumer requirements. In the context of the e-commerce shopping paradigm, there exists a mutually beneficial interaction between Customer interaction Management (CRM) and Supply Chain Management (SCM). In the aviation business, it has been observed that Supply Chain Management (SCM) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM) are two distinct components that do not need significant integration, as supported by current data and industry experience. However, due to time constraints in their development, the link between Supply Chain Management (SCM) and Customer link Management (CRM) has yet to be extensively explored. As a result, there is a need for more available literature and case studies that may be referenced in this regard. American Airlines is the only primary customer of a wide range of services and offerings.

Recommendations and Implications

From a corporate perspective, the implementation of CRM entails more than just deploying a software solution. It is important to acknowledge several limitations associated with this study. The feasibility of conducting longitudinal research to observe the temporal evolution of historical events could have been improved. Future research might potentially derive significant value from conducting empirical investigations into the impact of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) on organizational performance. Additionally, there is a need for studies that delve into the complexities and obstacles associated with the successful implementation of CRM strategies. Despite the unique characteristics of the aviation industry that differentiate it from other sectors and need a specialized examination of its Safety Culture Indicators (SCI), a limited amount of research has yet to be conducted. The existing body of research on supply chain integration (SCI) in the manufacturing industry has mostly concentrated

on the integration of suppliers. However, in service-oriented operations such as airlines, the integration of partners, such as terminal operators, also presents a noteworthy challenge that could impact organizational performance.

This study has the potential to act as a catalyst for the advancement of E-loyalty programs, such as implementing an E-points system, which may facilitate the establishment of robust and enduring relationships with consumers. To foster customer loyalty and promote recurring purchases of airline transportation services, various electronic loyalty programs, such as loyalty cards, may be used. It can be inferred that the selection of data was influenced by the constrained pool size, which can be attributed to the dominant position of American Airlines as the critical end consumer in the supply chain. The integration of Supply Chain Management (SCM) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM) may be seen in particular constrained scenarios. American Airlines is the prevailing standard in this regard. The present study exhibits limitations in its capacity to establish comparisons between the integration of Supply Chain Management (SCM) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM) in many scenarios. The research uses American Airlines as a representative example of integration since EA has a distinct CRM system that does not integrate CRM and SCM functionalities.

Based on the results mentioned above, it is advised to explore further the integration of Supply Chain Management (SCM) and Customer Relationship Management (CRM) in the aviation industry, offline retail, and Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs). An investigation into the correlation between SCM and CRM may be conducted to identify lucrative businesses via further research and implementation of SCM and CRM strategies. The implementation of SCM and CRM integration within the brick-and-mortar retail industry and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) has yet to provide immediate enhancements in terms of client

acquisition costs or income. The future trajectory of research in SCM and CRM is contingent upon the extensive implementation of these two disciplines, alongside the comprehensive gathering, examination, and juxtaposition of data from a diverse range of enterprises, extending beyond the exclusive focus on American Airlines.

References

- Adobe. (2017). Supercharge *the Aftermarket Profits with Digital Customer Experiences*.
<https://theblog.adobe.com/supercharge-aftermarket-profits-digital-customer-experiences/>
- Agrawal, A. (2012). *Turn the reverse supply chain into a profit center*. CSCMP's Supply Chain Quarterly. Q1. <http://www.supplychainquarterly.com/topics/Strategy/201201reverse/>
- Amsdell, A., & Kulkarni, N. (2020). *American Airlines Group, Inc.: A Return to Profitability Amid a Pandemic*. The Eugene D. Fanning Center for Business Communication, Mendoza College of Business, University of Notre Dame.
- Asset. (2022, May 30). *Benefits of Asset Management Planning and How to Implement It*. Asset Infinity. <https://www.assetinfinity.com/blog/asset-management-planning>
- Alsharari, N.M. (2013). *The Dynamics of Management Accounting Change in the Jordanian Customs Organization as Influenced by NPM Reforms*. Institutional pressures, Doctoral Thesis, Durham University.
- Alshurideh, M., Alsharari, N. M., & Al Kurdi, B. (2019). Supply Chain Integration and Customer Relationship Management in the Airline Logistics. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, 09(02), 392–414. <https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2019.92028>
- Alshurideh, M.T. (2016). Is Customer Retention Beneficial for Customers: A Conceptual Background. *Journal of Research in Marketing*, pp. 5, 382-389
- Altamony, H., Alshurideh, M. & Obeidat, B. (2012). Information Systems for Competitive Advantage: Implementation of an Organizational Strategic Management Process. Proceedings of the 18th IBIMA Conference on Innovation and Sustainable Economic Competitive Advantage: From Regional Development to World Economic, pp. 583–592.

- American Airlines. (2019). *History of American Airlines – Customer service – American Airlines*. Aa.com. <https://www.aa.com/i18n/customer-service/about-us/history-of-american-airlines.jsp>
- Busse, C., Meinschmidt, J. and Foerstl, K. (2017). "Managing information processing needs in global supply chains: a prerequisite to sustainable supply chain management," *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, Vol. 53 No. 1, pp. 87-113.
- Bachwich, A. R., & Wittman, M. D. (2017). The emergence and effects of the ultra-low-cost carrier (ULCC) business model in the US airline industry. *Journal of Air Transport Management*, 62, 155-164.
- Belhadi, A., Kamble, S., Jabbour, C. J. C., Gunasekaran, A., Ndubisi, N. O., & Venkatesh, M. (2021). Manufacturing and service supply chain resilience to the COVID-19 outbreak: Lessons learned from the automobile and airline industries. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 163, 120447.
- Birolini, S., Antunes, A. P., Cattaneo, M., Malighetti, P., & Paleari, S. (2021). Integrated flight scheduling and fleet assignment with improved supply-demand interactions. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 149, 162-180.
- Blanchard, D. (2021). *Supply chain management best practices*. John Wiley & Sons. Kleymann, B., & Seristö, H. (2017). *Managing strategic airline alliances*. Routledge.
- Bousdekis, A., Apostolou, D., & Mentzas, G. (2019). Predictive maintenance in the fourth industrial revolution: Benefits, business opportunities, and managerial implications. *IEEE engineering management review*, 48(1), 57-62.

- Budd, L., Ison, S., & Adrienne, N. (2020). European airline response to the COVID-19 pandemic—Contraction, consolidation and future considerations for airline business and management. *Research in Transportation Business & Management*, 37, 100578.
- Burrowes, T. (2022, March 7). *American Airlines Case Study*. xMatters.
<https://www.xmatters.com/customer/american-airlines-stay-resilient-and-keep-customers-happy>
- Colladon, A. F., Guardabascio, B., & Innarella, R. (2019). Using social network and semantic analysis to analyze online travel forums and forecast tourism demand. *Decision Support Systems*, 123, 113075.
- Chai, W., Ehrens, T., & Kiwak, K. (2020, September). *What is CRM (customer relationship management)?* SearchCustomerExperience.
<https://www.techtarget.com/searchcustomerexperience/definition/CRM-customer-relationship-management>
- Chen, I. J., & Popovich, K. (2003). Understanding Customer Relationship Management (CRM). *Business Process Management Journal*, 9(5), 672–688.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/14637150310496758>
- Daily, J., & Peterson, J. (2017). Predictive maintenance: How extensive data analysis can improve maintenance. *Supply Chain Integration Challenges in Commercial Aerospace: A Comprehensive Perspective on the Aviation Value Chain*, pp. 267–278.
- Datalynq Team. (2022, March 30). *What Does Obsolescence Management Mean in 2022?* Supply Chain 24/7.

[https://www.supplychain247.com/article/what does obsolence management mean in 2022](https://www.supplychain247.com/article/what-does-obsolence-management-mean-in-2022)

DeBenedetti, J. (2022, January 4). *Importance of Quality Control in Supply Chain Management*.

Chron.com. <https://smallbusiness.chron.com/importance-quality-control-supply-chain-management-80588.html>

Das, D. (2017). "Development and validation of a scale for measuring Sustainable Supply Chain Management practices and performance," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 164, pp. 1344–1362.

Das, S., & Hassan, H. M. K. (2021). Impact of sustainable supply chain management and customer relationship management on organizational performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print).

<https://doi.org/10.1108/ijppm-08-2020-0441>

Di Vaio, A., & Varriale, L. (2019). Blockchain technology in supply chain management for sustainable performance: Evidence from the airport industry. *International Journal of Information Management*, 52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.09.010>

Gani, A. (2017). The Logistics Performance Effect in International Trade. *The Asian Journal of Shipping and Logistics*, 33(4), 279–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajsl.2017.12.012>

Gil-Gomez, H., Guerola-Navarro, V., Oltra-Badenes, R., & Lozano-Quilis, J. A. (2020). Customer relationship management: digital transformation and sustainable business model innovation. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 33(1), 1–18.

Tandfonline. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677x.2019.1676283>

- Garcia, M. (2017, April 27). American Airlines Uses Portfolio Management - Blog | Planview. Retrieved from Planview Blog website: <https://blog.planview.com/american-airlines-achieves-greater-efficiency-in-the-face-of-change/>
- Goncalves, C., & Kokkolaras, M. (2017). We are modeling the relationship between aviation original equipment manufacturers and maintenance, repair, and overhaul enterprises from a product-service system perspective. In *DS 87-3 Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Engineering Design (ICED 17) Vol 3: Product, Services and Systems Design, Vancouver, Canada, 21-25.08. 2017* (pp. 389-398).
- Gulati, V. (2023, March 25). *The Power of a Strong OEM-Dealer Partnership: Unravelling the Myth and Fostering Success in the Auto Retail Industry*. www.linkedin.com.
<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/power-strong-oem-dealer-partnership-unravelling-myth-fostering/>
- Gunarathne, P., Rui, H., & Seidmann, A. (2018). When social media delivers customer service: Differential customer treatment in the airline industry. *MIS Quarterly*, 42(2), 489-520.
- Hargreaves, S. (2019, September 20). *6 Ways to Reduce Inventory Holding Costs*. [Learn.g2.com](http://learn.g2.com).
<https://learn.g2.com/ways-to-reduce-inventory-holding-costs>
- Hwang, J., & Lyu, S. O. (2018). Understanding first-class passengers' luxury value perceptions in the US airline industry. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 28, 29-40.
- Inbound Logistics. (2022, November 14). *Capacity Planning: Meaning, Strategies, and Process*. Inbound Logistics. <https://www.inboundlogistics.com/articles/capacity-planning/>
- Jansson, Joel, & Daniel. (2016). *Strategic Alignment and its Influence on Purchasers Propositions for Constructing the strategic alignment i*. <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:941756/FULLTEXT01.pdf>

- Khadka, K., & Maharjan, S. (2017). *CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND CUSTOMER LOYALTY*. <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/161421179.pdf>
- Kuhle, P., Arroyo, D., & Schuster, E. (2021). Building A blockchain-based decentralized digital asset management system for commercial aircraft leasing. *Computers in Industry*, 126, 103393.
- Lee, S. M., Lee, D., & Kim, Y. S. (2019). The quality management ecosystem for predictive maintenance in the Industry 4.0 era. *International Journal of Quality Innovation*, 5, 1-11.
- Maneenop, S., & Kotcharin, S. (2020). The impacts of COVID-19 on the global airline industry: An event study approach. *Journal of air transport management*, p. 89, 101920.
- Peng, K. (2018). *Equipment management in the post-maintenance era: a new alternative to total productive maintenance (TPM)*. CRC Press.
- Sales, M., & Scholte, S. (2023). *Air cargo management: Air freight and the global supply chain*. Taylor & Francis.
- Scribble Data. (2023, January 6). *Advanced Analytics: Techniques, Examples, and Benefits*. Scribble Data. <https://www.scribbledata.io/unlocking-the-potential-of-advanced-analytics/>
- Sundarakani, B., Abdul Razzak, H., & Manikandan, S. (2018). Creating a competitive advantage in the global flight catering supply chain: a case study using SCOR model. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 21(5), 481-501.
- Tagetik, C. (2021, February 12). *The value in Supply Chain flexibility*. [Www.wolterskluwer.com. https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/expert-insights/the-value-in-supply-chain-flexibility](https://www.wolterskluwer.com/en/expert-insights/the-value-in-supply-chain-flexibility)

- Huo, B. (2012). The impact of supply chain integration on company performance: an organizational capability perspective. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17(6), 596–610. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211269210>
- IATA. (2021, September 6). *What to Know About Air Cargo Handling*. [Www.iata.org](http://www.iata.org).
<https://www.iata.org/en/publications/newsletters/iata-knowledge-hub/what-to-know-about-air-cargo-handling/>
- IATA. (2022). *Global Outlook for Air Transport Times of Turbulence*.
<https://www.iata.org/en/iata-repository/publications/economic-reports/airline-industry-economic-performance---june-2022---report/>
- Kersten, W., Blecker, & Ringle. (2017). *Institute of Business Logistics and General Management Suggested Citation*. 23. <https://doi.org/10.15480/882.1442>
- Kumar, R., Singh, R.K. & Shankar, R. (2016). "Study on collaboration and information sharing practices for SCM in Indian SME," *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, Vol. 22 No. 4, pp. 455–475.
- Kumar, V. (2010). *Customer Relationship Management*.
- Luthra, S. & Mangla, S.K. (2018). "When strategies matter: adoption of sustainable supply chain management practices in an emerging economy's context," *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, Vol. 138, pp. 194–206.
- McCain, A. (2022). *20 American Airlines Statistics [2022]: Passengers, Revenue, And Facts – Zippia*. <https://www.zippia.com/advice/american-airlines-statistics/>
- Mangan, J., & Lalwani, C. (2016). *Global Logistics and Supply Chain Management*. In *Google Books*. John Wiley & Sons.
<https://books.google.co.ke/books?hl=en&lr=&id=5BsWCgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA9&>

dq=The+Relationship+Between+Supply+Chain+Integration+and+Customer+Relationshi
pcan+Airlines+Logistics&ots=9d9yitN8k-

&sig=K7D80VI1vVR6KJhJ5jyZftDXypU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false

Porter, M. G. (2019). Supply Chain Integration: Does Organizational Culture Matter? *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 49–59.

<https://doi.org/10.31387/oscm0360222>

Parvatiyar, A., & Sheth, J. N. (2000). *Handbook of Relationship Marketing*. SAGE Knowledge; SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://sk.sagepub.com/reference/handbook-of-relationship-marketing/n1.xml>

Persson, P. (2004). *MASTER OF SCIENCE PROGRAMME Customer Relationship Management How a CRM system can be used in the sales process*. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1020146/FULLTEXT01.pdf>

Saettler, M. (2017). *Why American Airlines wins at social media CRM | Marketing Dive*.

[Www.marketingdive.com](http://www.marketingdive.com).

<https://www.marketingdive.com/ex/mobilemarketer/cms/news/social-networks/18381.html>

Storbacka, K. (2000). Customer Profitability: Analysis and Design Issues. *Handbook of Relationship Marketing*, 565–586. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781452231310.n21>

UGreen. (2023, January 5). *Sustainability Engineering: The Benefits Comprehensive Guide*.

UGreen. <https://ugreen.io/sustainability-engineering-addressing-environmental-social-and-economic-issues/>

US EPA. (2018, July 24). *Managing and Reducing Wastes: A Guide for Commercial Buildings* /

US EPA. US EPA. <https://www.epa.gov/smm/managing-and-reducing-wastes-guide-commercial-buildings>

Veryon. (2023, June 6). *American Airlines case study addresses repetitive faults*. Veryon.

<https://veryon.com/case-studies/case-study-american-airlines-addresses-repetitive-faults-with-data>

Vinod, B. (2021). *Evolution of Yield Management in the Airline Industry*. Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany: Springer International Publishing.

Wolla, S. A., & Backus, C. (2018, November). *The Economics of Flying: How Competitive Are the Friendly Skies?* Research.stlouisfed.org.

<https://research.stlouisfed.org/publications/page1-econ/2018/11/01/the-economics-of-flying-how-competitive-are-the-friendly-skies>

Wynn, M., Turner, P., Banik, A., & Duckworth, G. (2016). The Impact of Customer Relationship Management Systems in Small Business Enterprises. *Strategic Change*, 25(6), 659–674.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2100>

Yeung, A. (2023, March 29). *6 Effective Inventory Optimization Techniques You Should Use*.

ThoughtSpot. <https://www.thoughtspot.com/data-trends/analytics/inventory-optimization-techniques>

Yang, C., & Wei, H. (2013). The effect of supply chain security management on security performance in container shipping operations. *Supply Chain Management: An*

International Journal, 18(1), 74–85. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541311293195>

Zhou, Z., Liu, Y., Yu, H., & Chen, Q. (2020). Logistics Supply Chain Information Collaboration Based on FPGA and Internet of Things System. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 103589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpro.2020.103589>